choice record shows that he will use every opening the law permits to further restrict a woman's right to choose.

Unfortunately, Professor McConnell does not stand apart from other Bush nominees for his extreme ideology. I believe he was chosen because of it.

Remaking the Federal courts has been a long-term goal of the right-wing base of the Republican party. They have pursued this goal with dogged determination and persistence for more than two decades, and they are succeeding. More and more restrictions on a woman's right to choose are being upheld as constitutional by the increasingly conservative Federal courts, while portions of anti-discrimination law and Violence Against Women Acta law that Senator Biden wrote and that I was proud to sponsor when I was in the House-are struck down. This is not the right direction for the federal courts

Now Bush Administration is poised to tip the scales of justice even further to support an extreme anti-choice agenda, and the right to choose may well disappear for more and more American women—especially for poor women. Don't take my word for it. After last week's elections, former Reagan Administration attorney Bruce Fein said that there will be a philosophical revolution in the courts and that Bush nominees will impose a variety of new restrictions on a women's right to choose. The impact, he said, will be almost as great as if Robert Bork had been confirmed.

Mr. President, during the Clinton Administration, I was repeatedly told by the Republican leadership in the Senate that I should only recommend moderate judges to fill judicial vacancies on the Federal courts in the state of California. Otherwise, I was told, Republicans would not let them be confirmed.

President Bush should be held to the same standard. In fact, President Bush said he wanted to govern from the middle. And he fulfilled that commitment on the district court level in California when he agreed to a bipartisan committee selection process. That process has worked well, producing well-qualified mainstream nominees for eight open district court seats in California.

However, Professor McConnell's nomination does not meet the test. He does not fulfill President Bush's commitment to govern from the middle. He does not meet the requirement established by the Senate Republican leadership during the Clinton Administration that nominees be moderate. No, Mr. President, Professor McConnell is far outside the mainstream.

I again call on President Bush—as have so many in the Senate—to reach out across the aisle and to work with all of us to find and nominate the moderate, consensus judges that Americans deserve.

## NOMINATION DISCHARGED

NOMINATION OF MARY CARLIN YATES TO BE AMBASSADOR TO THE REPUBLIC OF GHANA

Mr. REID. I ask unanimous consent that the Foreign Relations Committee be discharged from further consideration of the nomination of Mary Carlin Yates to be the Ambassador to the Republic of Ghana; that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of the nomination; that the nomination be confirmed, the motion to reconsider be laid on the table; that any statements be printed in the RECORD; that the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action; and that the Senate return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nomination considered and confirmed is as follows:

Mary Carlin Yates, of Oregon, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Minister-Counselor, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Ghana.

## LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now return to legislative session.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREE-MENT—NOMINATION OF DENNIS SHEDD

Mr. REID. Mr. President, as in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that at 12 noon on Monday, November 18, the Senate proceed to executive session to consider Executive Calendar No. 1178, the nomination of Dennis Shedd to be United States Circuit Judge; that there be a time limitation of 6 hours for debate equally divided between Senators Leahy and Hatch or their designees; that at the conclusion or yielding back of the time, but not before 5:15 p.m., the Senate vote on cloture on the nomination; that if cloture is invoked, the Senate then vote immediately on the confirmation of the nomination; that if the nomination is confirmed, the motion to reconsider be laid on the table, the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action, and the Senate return to legislative session; that if cloture is not invoked, the nomination be returned to the calendar and the Senate return to legislative session; and that the preceding all occur with no intervening action or debate; further, that the granting of this consent fulfill the cloture filing requirement under rule XXII.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—H.R. 5005

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that no other amend-

ments be in order to H.R. 5005 prior to the disposition of the Thompson amendment; that when the Senate concludes its business today, it next resume consideration of this bill on Monday, November 18, upon disposition of Executive Calendar No. 1178: that the 30 hours under cloture conclude at 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday. November 19: that the 90 minutes prior to that time on Tuesday be divided as follows: 30 minutes for each of the two leaders or their designees, and 30 minutes for Senator BYRD, with the Republican leader controlling the time from 10 to 10:15 a.m. and the Democrat leader controlling the time from 10:15 to 10:30 a.m.: that at 10:30 a.m. the Senate vote on the Daschle-Lieberman-Byrd amendment. No. 4953; that upon disposition of that amendment, the Senate then vote immediately on amendment No. 4911, as amended, if amended; that upon the disposition of that amendment, the Senate vote on or in relation to the Thompson amendment. No. 4901, as amended, if amended; that upon the disposition of Senator Thompson's amendment, the Senate then vote on cloture on H.R. 5005, with the preceding all occurring without intervening action or debate, provided further that no points of order be waived by this agreement

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, point of clarification: On Monday night after the Shedd matter is disposed of, will Senators be allowed to discuss the homeland security matter?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That would be the order.

## SUBSIDY RATE FOR SMALL BUSINESS LOANS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. 3172 introduced earlier today by Senator BOND.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 3172) to improve the calculation of the Federal subsidy rate with respect to certain small business loans, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I ask my colleagues to support the small business subsidy rate improvement bill before the Senate today. It is not perfect, but it takes us a step in the right direction. It takes us a step in the right direction by reversing a current 60-percent cut in loan dollars available to small businesses through the Small Business Administration's flagship 7(a) loan program, and it includes a budget change mid-year with OMB's blessing, which is unprecedented. However, it does not go far enough in correcting the way the government calculates the